## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RIGINAL

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

**RECEIVED** 

In the Matter of	)	OCT 25 2000
Amendment of Section 73.622(b), Table of Allotments, Digital Television Broadcast Stations. (Boca Raton, Florida)	) ) )	MM Docket No. 00-138 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION SPFICE OF THE SECRETARY  RM-9896
To: Chief, Video Services Division Mass Media Bureau		

## REPLY COMMENTS OF SHERJAN BROADCASTING CO., INC.

1. These Reply Comments are filed on behalf of Sherjan Broadcasting Co., Inc. ("Sherjan"). Sherjan filed initial comments on October 10, 2000. The only other comments of which Sherjan is aware were filed by Channel 63 of Palm Beach, Inc. ("Channel 63"), the current permittee of WPPB-TV, and successor to the petitioner in RM-9896. Channel 63 filed an expression of continuing interest in the proposal, which is a requirement for the Commission to make any change in the Table of Allotments; but its comments did not address the impact of the proposal on Sherjan's Station WJAN-CA or on Class A-eligible Station WFUN-LP.

No. of Copies rec'd C List ABCDE

<sup>1/</sup> In its initial comments, Sherjan erroneously concluded, based on information retrieved from the Commission's CDBS web page, that the School Board of Broward County, Florida, had acquired the construction permit for WPPB-TV from Channel 63. Channel 63 subsequently advised Sherjan that although an assignment to the School Board has been approved, it has not yet been consummated.

<sup>2/</sup> It also appears that none of the past, present, or future owners of WPPB-TV undertook any kind of coordination process before petitioning for rule making or "provide[d] all stations that might be affected by a proposed change notice and an opportunity to comment, object, or submit their own proposals that could be precluded by a proposal under consideration," as encouraged by the Commission in *Advanced Television Systems*, Sixth Report and Order in MM Docket No. 87-268, 12 FCC Rcd 14588, 14670-71, at par. 182 and fn. 321.

- 2. Sherjan adheres to its initial comments, which demonstrate clearly, and so far without refutation, that the proposed allotment change may not lawfully be adopted because of prohibited contour overlap with WJAN-CA, as well as an increase in interference to WFUN-LP, which has been granted a certification of eligibility to apply for Class A status and thus is a protected facility unless and until it fails to apply for a Class A license by December 11, 2000.
- 3. There is no basis for any exception to the requirement of the Community Broadcasters Protection Act of 1999<sup>3/</sup> that WJAN-CA and WFUN-LP be given priority, because the proposed Channel \*40 allotment was not in place in the DTV Table of Allotments as of December 9, 1999, the date on which Sherjan filed its certification of Class A eligibility; nor are there any "technical problems requiring an engineering solution" that preclude WPPB-TV from replicating its analog service area on DTV Channel \*44 or from adequately serving its community of license with the facilities currently specified in the DTV Table. Moreover, Sherjan demonstrated that even maximization on Channel \*44 would cause less prohibited overlap with WJAN-CA than the proposed operation on Channel \*40.
- 4. Finally, Sherjan's comments explained why WPPB-TV's "settlement" with Guenter Marksteiner should not be taken into account or affect the outcome of this proceeding.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{3}$ / This statute and other pertinent authorities are fully cited in Sherjan's initial comments.

5. The record in this proceeding establishes conclusively that there is no basis in law or otherwise to adopt the proposed allotment change, so the proposal should not be adopted.

Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C. 1730 Rhode Island Ave., N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036-3101 Tel. 202-728-0401 ext. 105 Fax 202-728-0354

October 25, 2000

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Tannenwald

Counsel for Sherjan Broadcasting Co., Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Jennifer N. Reyes, do hereby certify that I have, this 25th day of October, 2000, caused to be sent by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, copies of the foregoing "Reply Comments of Sherjan Broadcasting Co., Inc." to the following:

Kevin C. Boyle, Esq.
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 1300
Washington, DC 20004
Counsel for Palmetto Broadcasters Associated for Communities, Inc.

Margaret L. Miller, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for Channel 63 of Palm Beach, Inc.

Wayne Coy, Esq.
Cohn and Marks
1920 N St., N.W., Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for The School Board of Broward County, Florida

Frank R. Jazzo, Esq. Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C. 1700 North 17th St., 11thfloor Arlington, VA 22209-3801 Counsel for Guenter Marksteiner

James L. Anderson, CEO Rodriguez Communications, Inc. 8828 Stemmons Freeway, Suite 106 Dallas, TX 75247 Licensee of Station WFUN-LP

William F. Pyne, Esq.
Thompson & Knight L.L.P.
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 3300
Dallas, TX 75201
Counsel for Rodriguez Communications, Inc.

Pam Blumental, Esq. (by hand delivery to Portals drop-off desk) Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th St., S.W., Room 2-A762 Washington, DC 20554